

**ReviewTex. Inc.**  
**1818 Mountjoy Drive**  
**San Antonio, TX 78232**  
**(phone) 210-598-9381 (fax) 210-598-9382**  
**reviewtex@hotmail.com**

**Date notice sent to all parties:**

May 21, 2015

**IRO CASE #:**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE:**

Appeal Bil L4-5, L5-S1 Intra Articular Facet Jt Injection

**A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR  
OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION:**

Board Certified Anesthesiologist

**REVIEW OUTCOME:**

Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

☒ Upheld (Agree)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether medical necessity exists for each of the health care services in dispute.

**PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]:**

This is a xx year old female with a reported date of injury of xx/xx/xx. On 01/18/13, an MRI of the lumbar spine revealed at L5-S1 level was normal. At L4-5 there was mild facet arthropathy without central canal stenosis or foraminal narrowing. On 04/01/13, the patient received bilateral medial branch blocks at L4-5. On 06/26/13, the patient received bilateral intraarticular lumbar facet injections at L3-4 and L4-5. On 12/04/13, the patient was given bilateral lumbar radiofrequency ablation at L4-5 and L5-S1. On 10/08/14, the patient returned for bilateral lumbar facet radiofrequency ablation at L4-5 and L5-S1. On 04/28/15, the patient returned to clinic and on exam she had tenderness over the lumbar facets with pain reproduction on right and left bending as well as with hyperextension. She was to resume physical therapy after intraarticular injections were performed.

**ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS,**

## **FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION:**

On 03/16/15, an adverse determination was submitted for the requested bilateral L4-5 and L5-S1 intraarticular facet joint injections, utilizing Official Disability Guidelines Low Back Chapter. That chapter indicates that therapeutic intraarticular facet joint injections are currently under study with conflicting evidence as to the procedure and no more than 1 therapeutic intraarticular block is suggested. On 04/17/15, an appeal reconsideration determination was submitted also utilizing Official Disability Guidelines Low Back Chapter, in which it was noted that therapeutic intraarticular facet joint injections are under study with current evidence being conflicting as to the efficacy and 1 block being recommended. The submitted records indicate the patient has facet arthropathy on MRI and on clinical exam. However, she received multiple injections including L5-S1 intraarticular facet joint injections, with radiofrequency ablations being performed. The overall efficacy has not been documented and guidelines do indicate this procedure is under study. Therefore, it is the opinion of this reviewer that the request for bilateral L4-5 and L5-S1 intraarticular facet joint injections is not medically necessary.

## **IRO REVIEWER REPORT TEMPLATE -WC**

### **A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:**

☒ **MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS**

☒ **ODG- OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES**

Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Treatment Index, 11th Edition (web), 2013, low back chapter

Facet joint intra-articular injections (therapeutic blocks)

Under study. Current evidence is conflicting as to this procedure and at this time no more than one therapeutic intra-articular block is suggested. If successful (pain relief of at least 50% for a duration of at least 6 weeks), the recommendation is to proceed to a medial branch diagnostic block and subsequent neurotomy (if the medial branch block is positive). If a therapeutic facet joint block is undertaken, it is suggested that it be used in consort with other evidence based conservative care (activity, exercise, etc.) to facilitate functional improvement. (Dreyfuss, 2003) (Colorado, 2001) (Manchikanti, 2003) (Boswell, 2005) See Segmental rigidity (diagnosis). In spite of the overwhelming lack of evidence for the long-term effectiveness of intra-articular steroid facet joint injections, this remains a popular treatment modality. Intra-articular facet joint injections have been popularly utilized as a therapeutic procedure, but are not currently recommended as a treatment modality in most evidence-based reviews as their benefit remains controversial. The therapeutic facet joint injections described here are injections of a steroid (combined with an anesthetic agent) into the facet joint under fluoroscopic guidance to provide temporary pain relief. (Dreyfuss, 2003) (Nelemans-Cochrane, 2000) (Carette, 1991) (Nelemans, 2001) (Slipman, 2003) (van Tulder, 2006) (Colorado, 2001) (ICSI, 2004) (Bogduk, 2005) (Resnick, 2005) (Airaksinen, 2006) An updated Cochrane review of injection therapies (ESIs, facets, trigger points) for low back pain concluded that there is no strong evidence for or against the use of any type of injection therapy, but it cannot be

ruled out that specific subgroups of patients may respond to a specific type of injection therapy. (Staal-Cochrane, 2009)

Systematic reviews endorsing therapeutic intra-articular facet blocks:

Pain Physician, 2005: In 2005 there were two positive systematic reviews published in Pain Physician that stated that the evidence was moderate for short-term and limited for long-term improvement using this intervention. (Boswell, 2005) (Boswell, 2005) These results were based, in part, on five observational studies. These non-controlled studies were confounded by variables such as lack of confirmation of diagnosis by dual blocks and recording of subjective pain relief, or with measures that fell under verbal rating and/or pain relief labels (measures that have been reported to have problems with validity). (Edwards, 2005)

Pain Physician, 2007: Pain Physician again published a systematic review on this subject in 2007 and added one additional randomized trial comparing intra-articular injections with sodium hyaluronate to blocks with triamcinolone acetonide. The diagnosis of facet osteoarthritis was made

radiographically. (Fuchs, 2005) Two randomized trials were not included, in part, as they failed to include controlled diagnostic blocks. These latter articles were negative toward the use of therapeutic facet blocks. (Lilius, 1989) (Marks, 1992) An observational non-controlled study that had positive results was included that made the diagnosis of lumbar facet syndrome based on clinical assessment of "pseudoradicular" lumbar pain, including evidence of an increase of pain in the morning and with excessive stress and exercise (no diagnostic blocks were performed). (Schulte, 2006) With the inclusion of these two articles the conclusion was changed so that the evidence for lumbar intra-articular injections was "moderate" for both short-and long-term improvement of low back pain. (Boswell2, 2007)

Complications: These included suppression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis for up to 4 weeks due to steroids with resultant elevated glucose levels for less than a week. (Ward, 2002) There have been rare cases of infection (septic arthritis, epidural abscess and meningitis). (Cohen, 2007) Complications from needle placement include dural puncture, spinal cord trauma, intraarterial and intravenous injection, spinal anesthesia, neural trauma, pneumothorax, and hematoma formation. (Boswell2, 2007)

Single photon emission computed tomography: (bone scintigraphy, SPECT scan): Not recommended although recent research is promising. This technique is recommended based on the ability of radionuclide bone scintigraphy to detect areas of increased function, depicting synovial areas of inflammation as well as degenerative changes. Thirteen of 15 patients had a > 1 standard deviation pain score improvement at 1 month versus 7 of 32 patients with a negative or no scan. The benefit of the injection lasted for approximately 3 months and did not persist to 6 months. (Pneumatics2, 2006) See also Facet joint diagnostic blocks (injections); Facet joint pain, signs & symptoms; Facet joint radiofrequency neurotomy; Facet joint medial branch blocks (therapeutic injections); & Segmental rigidity (diagnosis). Also see Neck Chapter and Pain Chapter.

Criteria for use of therapeutic intra-articular and medial branch blocks, are as follows:

1. No more than one therapeutic intra-articular block is recommended.
2. There should be no evidence of radicular pain, spinal stenosis, or previous fusion.

3. If successful (initial pain relief of 70%, plus pain relief of at least 50% for a duration of at least 6 weeks), the recommendation is to proceed to a medial branch diagnostic block and subsequent neurotomy (if the medial branch block is positive).

4. No more than 2 joint levels may be blocked at any one time.

5. There should be evidence of a formal plan of additional evidence-based activity and exercise in addition to facet joint injection therapy.